Perception of mothers in Owerri, South-East Nigeria about teething in infants

Kelechi Kenneth Odinaka, Edelu Benedict¹, Amamilo Ifeyinwa, Nwolisa Charles Emeka, Kingsley Achigbu Department of Paediatrics, Federal Medical Centre, Owerri, Imo State, ¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital,

Enugu State, Nigeria

Abstract Background: Teething is a natural and physiological process in growing infants. It is one of the major milestones in the development of the child and has been attributed to cause a myriad of problems to the infant.

Aim: This study sought to determine the knowledge, beliefs and practices of mothers from Owerri, South-East Nigeria on childhood teething.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional, descriptive study that involved 127 mothers who attended the infant immunisation clinic of Federal Medical Centre Owerri over 3 months from September to November 2018. **Results:** Fifty-one (41.7%) infants had the eruption of the first tooth on or before 5 months of age. A good proportion 111 (87.4%) of mothers believed that tooth eruption in infants will come with illness, while 55 (43.3%) of the mothers had in the past experienced symptoms with teething in their babies. Seventy-three (57.5%) of the mothers use medications as prophylaxis for teething-associated problems. Mother's education did not significantly influence the use of teething prophylaxis (31.4% of mothers with tertiary education administered medications to their children during teething compared to 54.2% of mothers with lower educational background, P = 0.094, $\chi^2 = 6.392$).

Conclusion: Mothers in Owerri, South Eastern Nigeria irrespective of their educational status still have wrong perceptions and beliefs about teething and majority routinely administer medications for presumed teething problems.

Keywords: Infant, mother, perception, teething

Address for correspondence: Dr. Kelechi Kenneth Odinaka, Department of Paediatrics, Federal Medical Centre, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. E-mail: kellymed112@yahoo.com Received: 05.05.2020, Accepted: 04.09.2020, Published: 25.03.2021

INTRODUCTION

Teething is the process by which a baby's teeth erupt, or break through, the gums. The process usually begins at about 5–7 months of age with the lower central incisors.¹ It is one of the major milestones in the child's development and in Nigeria, many parents celebrate it by taking photographs of the child. In addition, the precocious

Access this article online						
Quick Response Code:	Wabsita					
	www.phmj.org					
	DOI: 10.4103/phmj.phmj_16_20					

eruption of teeth is seen as a sign of great intelligence in some cultures.² However, teething is thought to be associated with a myriad of problems to the infant.

The period of teething coincides with the time when there is waning of transplacentally transferred humoral immunity and the establishment of the child's own humoral immunity. This makes the infants relatively more susceptible to a wide

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Odinaka KK, Benedict E, Ifeyinwa A, Emeka NC, Achigbu K. Perception of mothers in Owerri, South-East Nigeria about teething in infants. Port Harcourt Med J 2020;14:125-30.

range of infections at this time.³ In addition, a lot of babies insert their fingers into their mouth in a bid to reduce some discomfort associated with teething and could introduce infectious agents into their mouth in the process.

There have been conflicting reports in medical literature concerning teething and its association with childhood illness. For instance, Hippocrates believed teething was associated with itching gum, diarrhoea and fever, while Wake et al.4 refuted these associations. These conflicting reports may explain why a lot of parents and even some health-care practitioners (some of whom are parents themselves) still attribute certain childhood illness such as vomiting, fever and diarrhoea to teething. This belief may lead to delay in seeking prompt medical intervention. On the other hand, they may also be aggressive with seeking care and administer unnecessary medications putting the child at risk of harm and thus may subsequently increase morbidity and mortality. This study sought to determine the knowledge, beliefs and practices of mothers from Owerri, South-East Nigeria on teething. The findings of this study could help form the basis for health promotional messages aimed at addressing the misconceptions regarding teething in the region and the continent at large.

METHODS

Description of the study area

The study was carried out over 3 months from September to November 2018 at the infant immunisation clinic of Federal Medical Centre Owerri Imo State Nigeria. The infant immunisation clinic is where routine childhood immunisation is carried out daily. Certain immunisations are given on specific days of the week. Children aged 0–59 months attend the clinic.

Study design

This was a cross-sectional, descriptive study using structured self-designed pre-tested questionnaire. Mothers whose babies were due for the second dose of measles vaccination and gave consent for inclusion in the study were given the questionnaire to fill. Convenience sampling technique was used and study participants were consecutively recruited.⁵

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee of the Federal Medical Centre Owerri before the commencement of the study.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained were analysed using the Statistical Package for the social Sciences version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Ill, USA). Frequencies of qualitative variables were summarised using tables and percentages were calculated. Chi-square test was used to test for the statistical significance of differences in the proportions of categorical variables. The acceptable level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 and 95% of confidence interval were reported.

RESULTS

A total of 140 questionnaires were distributed, but only 127 mothers completed and returned the questionnaire giving a response rate of 90.7%. The majority were married, and only three (2.4%) were single mothers. The majority of the responders 45 (35.4%) had 2 children followed by mothers with 3 children 27 (21.3%). They were mainly Igbos (91.4%) and Christians (97.6%). Their ages, highest level of education and occupations and number of children are documented in Table 1. Majority (>80%) of the mothers had tertiary education. About a quarter were unemployed while those with three children or less predominated.

The beliefs of the mothers about the age of commencement of tooth eruption in infants are shown in Table 2. The

Table 1: Characteristics of the mothers

Variable	Frequency (%), <i>n</i> =127 (100%)
Age (years)	
20-29	49 (38.6)
30-39	73 (57.5)
>40	5 (3.9)
Educational status	
Primary/no education	3 (2.4)
Secondary	22 (17.3)
Tertiary	102 (80.3)
Employment status	
Unemployed	30 (23.6)
Artisan	9 (7.1)
Trading	32 (25.2)
Civil servants	42 (33.1)
Public servants	14 (11.0)
Number of children	
One	21 (16.5)
Two	45 (35,4)
Three	27 (21.3)
Four	22 (17.3)
Five	12 (9.5)

Table	2: Mothe	r's perc	eptior	1 of age	of	comm	nence	ment	of
tooth	eruption	versus	their	experie	nce	with	their	infant	ts

Age of tooth eruption (months)	Belief frequency (%)	Experience frequency (%)
≤5	49 (38.6)	53 (41.7)
6	45 (35.4)	27 (21.3)
7	12 (9.4)	11 (8.7)
8	7 (5.5)	21 (16.5)
9-12	14 (11.0)	11 (8.7)
>12	0	4 (3.1)
Total	127 (100)	127 (100)

highest proportion of mothers believe that tooth eruption should commence at 5 and 6 months (38.6% and 35.4%, respectively), whereas, regarding their experience in their infants, about 53 mothers (41.7%) experienced the first tooth eruption on or before 5 months of age, as shown in Table 2.

A high proportion (87.4%) of mothers believed that tooth eruption in infants will come with illness. Only 12 (9.4%) of the mothers responded in the negative, while 4 (3.1%) were unsure. Symptoms recognised by mothers as evidence of teething in babies included: fever 96 (75.6%), diarrhoea 79 (62.2%) and drooling of saliva, as shown in Table 3. Only 55 (43.3%) of the mothers had in the past experienced symptoms with teething in their babies and the symptoms they associated to teething included fever, poor feeding, irritability, vomiting and cough, as shown in Table 3.

More than half of the mothers (57.5%) believed that chemoprophylaxis is necessary to prevent associated problems with teething. These problems include; poor growth (16.5%) or weight loss (22.8%), serious illness (37.8%) or even death (4.7%). The belief that teething causes illness was not influenced by level of education attained (P = 0.46, $\chi^2 = 0.554$) nor by having more than 2 children ($\chi^2 = 1.64$, P = 0.20).

Seventy-three (57.5%) of the mothers use medications as treatment for presumed teething-associated problems. The medications used included: Paracetamol and teething powder, as shown in Table 4. Twenty-five mothers (19.7%) took their children to hospital when they believed they were experiencing teething symptoms.

Table	3:	Mother	's	belief	of	symptoms	associated	with	teething

Symptoms	Belief frequency (<i>n</i> =127) (%)
Fever	96 (75.6)
Diarrhoea	79 (62.2)
Drooling of saliva	75 (59.1)
Irritability	59 (46.5)
Poor appetite	46 (36.2)
Vomiting	28 (22.0)
Cough	13 (10.2)
Abdominal discomfort	13 (10.2)
Others	12 (9.4)

 Table 4: Medications used as treatment for presumed teething problems

Medication	Frequency (<i>n</i> =127) (%)
Paracetamol	102 (80.3)
Teething powder	47 (37.0)
Herbal mixtures	7 (5.5)
Salt water	11 (8.7)
Others*	18 (14.2)

*Antibiotics, anti-motility drugs

Mother's education did not significantly influence the use of teething prophylaxis (31.4% of mothers with tertiary education administered medications to their children during teething compared to 54.2% of mothers with lower educational background, P = 0.094, $\chi^2 = 6.392$). Mothers with more than 2 children were more likely to give teething prophylaxis compared to those with 2 children or less (P = 0.04, $\chi^2 = 4.43$).

The sources of information for the mothers on teething remedies were obtained from grandmothers (36.2%), medical personnel (34.4%), patent medicine dealers (10.2%) and from observing other mothers (29.1%).

DISCUSSION

This study explores the beliefs and practices of mothers in Owerri, an urban community in Southeast Nigeria about primary tooth eruption in infants. For mothers interviewed in this study, the average age for commencement of eruption of their present child's tooth was 6.27 ± 1.52 months, while the majority of the mothers perceived that the ideal time for tooth eruption was 6.03 ± 1.45 . This is within the age range of 5–7 months documented by Needlman,¹ but differs from the ages obtained by 2 different Nigerian studies which found average ages of 7.72 \pm 2.19 and 8.09 \pm 2.73 months, respectively.^{6,7} In Turkish children, it was 7.4 \pm 2.0 months,8 in Australian and Saudi children, the earliest age of eruption was 8.6 \pm 2.0 and 8.47 \pm 2.81 months respectively,^{9,10} while in Indian children, it was found to be even later $(10.72 \pm 0.56 \text{ months})$.¹¹ The reason for the disparity is not obvious.

This study shows majority of the women believed that teething was associated with several symptoms. These included fever, diarrhoea, drooling of saliva, irritability, loss of appetite, cough, vomiting and abdominal pain. Similar beliefs were reported by Ige and Olubukola¹² in Ibadan Nigeria, Wake et al.13 in Australia, Sarrell et al.14 in Isreal and Baykan et al.8 in Turkey. In all these studies, the recurrent symptoms include fever, irritability, increased chewing and biting, drooling, loss of appetite, sleep disturbances, diarrhoea, vomiting, runny nose and pulling of the ears.^{8,12-14} Furthermore, reports ^{3,14-16} have also shown that some these beliefs have been upheld by medical personnel, including medical doctors who should be more knowledgeable in order to educate these mothers. These beliefs cut across education and experience as mothers, both the highly educated as well as multiparous mothers share similar opinions with the not very educated and mothers with very few children.

Interestingly, some of these symptoms have been found by some researchers to actually occur along with teething.^{17,18} Macknin *et al.*¹⁷ and Jaber *et al.*¹⁸ in separate studies found that teething was significantly associated with low grade fever, but the study by Wake *et al.*⁴ did not find any relationship between fever and teething. Similarly, other symptoms such as decreased appetite for solid foods, biting, drooling, ear rubbing, gum rubbing, irritability, rash on the face, sucking and wakefulness were found by Macknin *et al.*,¹⁷ to be associated with teething unlike Wake *et al.*,⁴ who found no association with any of these. These contradictory findings add to the controversy on teething symptoms, myths or facts, and make it even more difficult for the health-care worker to correctly advice the mothers.

The desire by mothers and caregivers to treat and prevent symptoms and consequences of teething has resulted in the quest for all sorts of medications including unorthodox ones such as herbal mixtures and salt water. The manufacturing companies are also responding by producing mixtures of paracetamol, antihistamines and other components in a bid to satisfy the desires of these mothers. Smitherman et al.¹⁹ in a survey of black American community documented a wide use of folk remedies that includes benzocaine gel, whiskey, penny, ice cubes and spices, which cuts across educational status. It is sad to note that some of these medications such as the herbal mixtures can be harmful to these babies and should therefore be discouraged. Another harmful aspect is the fact that mothers whose babies are ill during teething may delay in seeking care at the health-care centres attributing such illnesses to teething. In the study by Ige and Olubukola¹² over 50% of the mothers would not seek medical care promptly with the symptoms as they believed they will resolve on their own. This practice may result in dire consequences including the death of these babies in some cases. Consequently, there is need to educate the mothers, as well as, health-care providers on the facts and myths about teething in infants to avert such bad outcomes.

Limitation of the study

This study was carried out in an urban setting; the findings may not be applicable in rural settings. Another limitation is the small number of subjects used for this study will limit the applicability of these findings to the larger population.

CONCLUSION

Mothers in Owerri, South Eastern Nigeria irrespective of their educational status still have inappropriate perceptions and beliefs about teething and the majority routinely administer medications for teething. This may have dire consequences for the child, family and the community. Therefore, it is necessary that health workers, mothers and all stakeholders should be properly educated on the facts and myths about teething in infants to improve the health of these children.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Needlman RD. Growth and development. In: Behrman RE, Kliegman RM, Jenson HB, editors. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 16th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company; 2000. p. 23-65.
- McIntyre GT, McIntyre GM. Teething troubles? Br Dent J 2002;192:251-5.
- Aliyu I, Duru C, Lawal T. Knowledge, attitude, and perception of teething myths among medical doctors in Nigerian hospitals. JOMIP 2014;1:144.
- Wake M, Hesketh K, Lucas J. Teething and tooth eruption in infants: A cohort study. Pediatrics 2000;106:1374-9.
- Elfil M, Negida A. Sampling methods in clinical research; an educational review. Emerg (Tehran) 2017;5:e52.
- Folayan M, Owotade F, Adejuyigbe E, Sen S, Lawal B, Ndukwe K, *et al.* The timing of eruption of the primary dentition in nigerian children. Am J Phys Anthropol 2007;134:443-8.
- Oziegbe EO, Adekoya-Sofowora C, Esan TA, Owotade FJ. Eruption chronology of primary teeth in Nigerian children. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2008;32:341-5.
- Baykan Z, Sahin F, Beyazova U, Ozçakar B, Baykan A. Experience of Turkish parents about their infants' teething. Child Care Health Dev 2004;30:331-6.
- Woodroffe S, Mihailidis S, Hughes T, Bockmann M, Seow WK, Gotjamanos T, *et al.* Primary tooth emergence in Australian children: Timing, sequence and patterns of asymmetry. Aust Dent J 2010;55:245-51.
- Al-Jasser NM, Bello LL. Time of eruption of primary dentition in Saudi children. J Contemp Dent Pract 2003;4:65-75.
- GunaShekhar M, Tenny J. Longitudinal study of age and order of eruption of primary teeth in Indian children. J Clin Exp Dent. 2010;2(3):113-6.
- Ige OO, Olubukola PB. Teething myths among nursing mothers in a Nigerian community. Niger Med J 2013;54:107-10.
- Wake M, Hesketh K, Allen MA. Parent beliefs about infant teething: A survey of Australian parents. J Paediatr Child Health 1999;35:446-9.
- Sarrell EM, Horev Z, Cohen Z, Cohen HA. Parents' and medical personnel's beliefs about infant teething. Patient Educ Couns 2005;57:122-5.
- Bankole OO, Denloye OO, Aderinokun GA. Attitude, beliefs and practices of some nigerian nurses toward teething in infants. Odontostomatol Trop 2004;27:22-6.
- Aliyu I, Peter ID, Abubakar S, Asani MO, Michael GC, Ahmed AO, et al. Teething myths among health workers in a tertiary health facility. Med J DY Patil Vidyapeeth 2018;11:291-5
- Macknin ML, Piedmonte M, Jacobs J, Skibinski C. Symptoms associated with infant teething: A prospective study. Pediatrics 2000;105:747-52.
- Jaber L, Cohen IJ, Mor A. Fever associated with teething. Arch Dis Child 1992;67:233-4.
- Smitherman LC, Janisse J, Mathur A. The use of folk remedies among children in an urban black community: Remedies for fever, colic, and teething. Pediatrics 2005;115:e297-304.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Biodata

- 1. Age (a) <20 (b) 20–24 (c) 25–29 (d) 30–34 (e) 35–39 (F) above 40
- 2. Level of education (a) primary (b) secondary (c) tertiary
- 3. Occupation_
- Marital status (a) married (b) single (c) others specify_ 4.
- 5. Religion (a) Christianity (b) Islam (c) others specify_
- Tribe (a) Igbo (b) Yoruba (c) Hausa (d) others specify_ 6.
- Number of children (a) 1 (B) 2 (c) 3 (d) 4 (e) 5 (f) 6 and above____ 7.
- Husbands level of education (a) primary (b) secondary (c) tertiary 8.
- 9. Husbands occupation
- 10. Gender of the index child (a) male (b) female
- 11. Age of the index child_
- Please answer these questions as accurately as you can. А.
- 1. Do you think tooth eruption causes illness in children?

Yes	No	Not always	Don't Know

2. At what age do you expect a baby's teeth to start erupting?

Below 3 months	4 months	5 months	6 months	7 months	8 months	B4 1 year
3. At v	what age o	did your	present (child eru	pt 1 st too	oth?
Can't	4-5	6	7	8	9-12	Above

erupt?

Not bothered Yes No

What problems do you think are associated with teething in children? 5.

Loose stool Vomiting Poor appetite Fever Drooling of saliva Cough Excessive crying Abdominal pain **Others mention**

No

Do you apply any medications to your child when you expect him/her to start teething? 6.

ſes	No	Sometimes	If need be

What do you usually give your child when he starts teething? 7.

Paracetamol	7	Teething	'GBOMORO'	Herbs	Salt	Any
	keys	powder			water	other I
						PLs list

Was tooth eruption in your baby associated with any illness? 8.

Yes

Odinaka, et al.: Perception of mothers about infant teething

No

9. If yes, list the symptoms your baby had?

10. Did you take your child to hospital when he/she was having teething symptoms?

Yes

11. Who gave you the information on how to handle teething in babies?

Mother Grand Friends From Nurse Doctor Chemist mother observation

12. What do you think will happen if you do not give the baby anything for teething?

Poor	Severe	Nothing	Death	Weight	Any
growth	illness			loss	other

13. Have you ever seen any child die from teething problems?

|--|

14. Does teething have any effects on the older children?

Yes No Don't know

15. Should a baby be taken to hospital if teething? (a) yes (b) no

16. If yes mention the reasons____